



Stage 2 Webinar: What makes a good application?













Welcome!



Welcome to Stage 2 applicants from IWTCF Round 10!

Your panelists:



Carly Cowell

- Director of Conservation, Botanic Gardens Conservation International
- Member of the IWT Challenge Fund Advisory Group



Rachel Beattie - NIRAS

- Focal point for Illegal Wildlife Trade Challenge Funds
- Technical and Admin support to the Biodiversity Challenge Funds (BCFs)



Andy East - NIRAS

Communications and Workshops Lead for the Biodiversity Challenge Funds

Key contact for application queries: BCF-IWTCF@niras.com
Flexi-Grant specific queries: BCF-Flexigrant@niras.com

Agenda & Housekeeping



- Welcome
- IWT Advisory Group Presentation
- Questions

If you have any questions, please feel free to type them in the Q&A box and upvote any that you are interested in hearing the answer to.

IWT Challenge Fund- R10



Stage 2 Stage Applications Carly Cowell - Advisory Group Member







Contents



- IWTCF objectives less can be more!
- Project types
- The fundamentals
- Why this project?
- What you aim to achieve, and how?
- Who will be impacted/involved, and where?
- Poverty & Gender
- Prefer not to see…
- Love to see

IWTCF objectives – less can be more!



- 1. Reducing demand for IWT products
- 2. Ensuring effective legal frameworks and deterrents
- 3. Strengthening law enforcement
- 4. Developing sustainable livelihoods to benefit people directly affected by IWT







Project types



Grant	Duration	Application Stages	Estimated Annual Number of Awards	Type of Project	Grant amount
Extra	2-4 years	2	1-2	Demonstrating a clear scaling pathway, building on good evidence from smaller projects to scale further	£600,000 - £1,500,000
Main	1-3 years	2	8-15	Providing good evidence, expected to deliver strong results, and demonstrate the potential to scale	£75,000 - £600,000
Evidence	Up to 2 years	2	<10	Focussed on evidence gathering to design IWT interventions	£20,000 - £100,000

The Fundamentals





- Read the guidance!
- Answer the questions!
- Do not exceed the number of pages of supplementary information
- Address the feedback ask for clarification if needed
- Value for money/expenditure in-country
- Honesty and integrity
 - Learning from past work
 - Drawing on external expertise
 - Being realistic

Why this project?



- Outline clearly the need for the project, including the IWT threats it will address.
- Add references!
- Outline the work that has already taken place
- How does your work complement this?
- How are you applying lessons learned?
- This doesn't have to just be other initiatives in the same target area but could be learning at a global scale.

What you aim to achieve, and how?



- Logical methodology is this likely to deliver the project outcomes, and in turn contribute to the overall impact?
- Is the approach appropriate to address the problem?
- Have risks been identified and managed?
- Follow the guidance for outputs, outcomes and impact, indicators, i.e. Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timebound (SMART), and MoV.
- Sustainability and exit strategy?
- How will the project strengthen the capability and capacity of key stakeholders?

Who will be impacted/involved, and where?



- Partnerships and collaboration
- Good understanding of in-country stakeholders
- Evidence of early engagement in project design
- Identification of beneficiaries
- Clear about geographic scope
- Projects in Upper-Middle Income Countries need to show:
 - impact in Least Developed/Low-Income Countries, or
 - contributing to the global public good, or
 - contributing to a critical issue that could not be made elsewhere.



Poverty reduction and gender



- Poverty is multi-dimensional and not solely about a lack of money; it encompasses a range of issues that hinder people's abilities to meet their basic needs and better their life with dignity.
 - Should be referenced in impact and include appropriate outputs and outcomes
 - Assessed in the context of project aims and feasibility
- Gender inequality is understood and reflected throughout proposal, including gender-disaggregated indicators.



Prefer not to see....



- Repetition of approaches that were not effective
- Overlooking contributions of others
- Absence of local partners
- Unethical/unjust goals often also unevidenced
- Unethical management/use of sensitive data
- Unexplained acronyms



Love to see....



- Innovation, novel collaborations, creativity
- Applications from local NGOs
- Respect, collaboration and consultation, including for and with local communities, recognising, and ideally representing, local needs, challenges and views.
- Opportunity for capacity building being maximised alongside delivery, and included as outcome measures.







Thank You

Questions welcome...